Development of a new dual electrochemical immunosensor for a rapid and sensitive detection of enrofloxacin in meat samples

1 Chloé AYMARD^{1,2}, Hussein KANSO^{1,2}, María Jesús SERRANO³
Rafael PAGÁN³, Thierry NOGUER^{1,2}, Georges ISTAMBOULIE^{1,2}

¹Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, Biocapteurs-Analyse-Environnement, 66860, Perpignan, France

²Laboratoire de Biodiversité et Biotechnologies Microbiennes, USR 3579 Sorbonne Universités (UPMC) Paris 6 et CNRS Observatoire Océanologique, 66650, Banyuls-sur-Mer, France

³Departamento de Producción Animal y Ciencia de los Alimentos, Facultad de Veterinaria, Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón-IA2 (Universidad de Zaragoza-CITA), Zaragoza, Spain

*Corresponding author. Tel: +33(0)468662255; E-mail address: georges.istamboulie@univ-perp.fr

1 **Abstract**

2 A novel dual electrochemical immunosensor was fabricated for the rapid and sensitive
3 detection of enrofloxacin (EF) antibiotic in meat. Anti-quinolone antibody was immobilized onto
4 screen-printed dual carbon electrodes *via* carbodiimide coupling. A new electrochemical probe was
5 synthesized by conjugating difloxacin and aminoferrocene, whose oxidation was measured at +0.2
6 V *vs.* Ag/AgCl by differential pulse voltammetry. The detection principle was based on the
7 competitive binding of this conjugate and free EF on immobilized antibodies. The proposed
8 immunosensor allowed detection of EF at concentrations ranging from 0.005 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ to 0.01
9 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ with a detection limit of 0.003 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$. The immunosensor was stable for at least 1 month
10 at 4°C and displayed a good specificity for other fluoroquinolones. The new dual electrode design
11 offered an improved accuracy as one electrode was used as negative control. The efficiency of the
12 sensor and the adequacy of the extraction process were finally validated by detecting EF in different
13 meat samples.

14 **Keywords**

15 Immunosensor, Enrofloxacin (EF), Fluoroquinolones (FQs), Competitive assay, Screen-printed dual
16 carbon electrodes (SPdCEs), Meat analysis

1. Introduction

Fluoroquinolones (FQs) are broad-spectrum synthetic antibiotics which selectively inhibit bacterial enzymes involved in DNA replication of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (Blondeau, 2004). These antibiotics, especially enrofloxacin (EF), were mainly used in large-scale breeding to prevent or treat animal diseases or to improve livestock growth and productivity (Cháfer-Pericás et al., 2010; Wolfson & Hooper, 1985). The use of these compounds as growth promoters is banned in European Union since 2006 (Brown et al., 2017; Diana et al., 2020) and they have been recently classified as restricted antibiotics (European Medicines Agency, 2019). Despite these limitations, Diana *et al.* recently reported that FQs are the most frequently used antimicrobials (Diana et al., 2020). Moreover, they are still considered as valuable polyvalent tools by a wide number of farmers to treat a variety of diseases. The overuse of FQs in animal husbandry or the disrespect of withdrawal periods settled by the manufacturers can result in the accumulation of antibiotic residues in animal-derived food, such as meat. Once consumed by humans, these residues present various health risks such as apparition of allergy and toxic reactions in liver, nervous system and skin (Aronson, 2016). This misuse can also lead to the prevalence of multidrug resistant pathogens, representing a serious public health concern (Aleksun & Levy, 2007; Tenover, 2006). In order to avoid these problems and to protect consumers, the use of antibiotics in food animals has been regulated by establishing maximum residue limits for authorized antimicrobials for each species (MRLs, Commission Regulation (EU) No 37/2010). Regarding EF, MRLs are ranging from 100 $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$ to 300 $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$, depending on the animal species and the target tissue. Therefore, the sensitive and effective monitoring of this antibiotic in food samples is crucial.

Various analytical methods have been developed for the detection of EF in animal tissues, and they can be classified into two categories: screening and confirmatory. Screening methods allow the detection of EF at the concentration of interest but they usually correspond to qualitative or semi-quantitative tests. These methods include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (Hu et al., 2019; Z. Wang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2011), immunochromatographic strip tests (Chen et al.,

2012; Huang et al., 2013), microbiological inhibition tests (Mata et al., 2014; Tumini et al., 2019; Q. Wu et al., 2019) and fluorescent (Dolati et al., 2018; Ha et al., 2016) and colorimetric sensors (Rezende et al., 2019). On the contrary, confirmatory methods, such as capillary electrophoresis (L. Wang et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2015) or high throughput liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (Panzenhagen et al., 2016), fluorescence (Peris-Vicente et al., 2017) or UV detection (Dunnett et al., 2004) allow both identification and quantification of analytes. However, these methods require sophisticated material, experimented users, as well as complex extraction and clean-up processes.

Despite the progress made in recent years, there is still a strong demand for new strategies to improve sensitivity, simplicity and feasibility of FQs detection methods. In this regard, electrochemical immunosensors have gained special interest as they combine the high specificity of antigen-antibody interaction and the sensitivity, ease of use, and low cost of electrochemical devices. Thereby, many portable and affordable immunosensors have been designed for various applications in clinical diagnosis, environmental monitoring and food analysis (Felix & Angnes, 2018; Mollarasouli et al., 2019). Screen-printed carbon electrodes appear as attractive transducers for immunosensor development since they can be mass-produced at low cost, they are chemically stable and they can be used as disposable devices (Sharma et al., 2017; Taleat et al., 2014).

Electrochemical immunosensors detecting FQs such as ciprofloxacin (Garifallou et al., 2007; Giroud et al., 2009; Ionescu et al., 2007), ofloxacin (He et al., 2015; Zang et al., 2013), norfloxacin (Liu et al., 2018) and enrofloxacin (Wu et al., 2009) have already been described in literature. The detection methods involved in these immunosensors were mostly based on changes in impedance related to antigen-antibody binding event (Garifallou et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2009), or on voltamperometric detection of a redox molecule generated by an enzyme-labelled secondary antibody (Liu et al., 2018; Zang et al., 2013). However, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy procedures are complex, sensitive to non-specific binding and above all, they are not suitable for the sensitive detection of small molecules (Bahadir & Sezgintürk, 2016; Kivirand et al., 2019).

69 On the other hand, the use of reporter enzymes as antibody labels is expensive and the measurement
70 protocol involves mandatory incubation and washing steps, resulting in increased measurement
71 times (Liu et al., 2018; Zang et al., 2013). For these reasons, a promising strategy consists in the
72 modification of the antigen with an electro-active probe like ferrocene. Ferrocene
73 (dicyclopentadienyl iron) is a well-known redox molecule appreciated for its stability and low
74 toxicity, which displays favorable electrochemical characteristics related to the reversible oxidation
75 of its iron center (Štěpnička, 2008). These properties have been exploited for the development of
76 several sensors where ferrocene derivatives were conjugated with peptides (Martić et al., 2011),
77 proteins (Dechtrirat et al., 2014), oligonucleotides (Nakayama et al., 2002) or sugars (Casas-Solvas
78 et al., 2009). This organometallic molecule was also used to improve antibacterial or antifungal
79 properties of different antibiotics such as ampicillin (Skiba et al., 2012), β -lactams (Lewandowski et
80 al., 2020), sulfonamides (Chohan, 2009) and FQs like ciprofloxacin (Khor et al., 2011).
81 Despite the high selectivity and sensitivity of electrochemical immunosensors, inter-electrode
82 variability is often observed due to the inherent differences in surface modification, as well as effect
83 of storage over time. The measurement of a reference signal is therefore required to take into
84 account these variations. Usually employed for the simultaneously detection of biomolecules
85 (Escamilla-Gómez et al., 2009; Sánchez-Tirado et al., 2017) or pesticides (Shi et al., 2018), screen-
86 printed dual carbon electrodes (SPdCEs) appear as suitable platforms to develop robust and
87 reproducible electrochemical assays as they allow differential measurements by using one electrode
88 as a blank electrode. It was recently demonstrated that such a dual device allows the reduction of
89 both inter-electrode variations and total measurement time (Shi et al., 2018).

90 In this study, we describe a novel electrochemical immunosensor composed of two working
91 electrodes for easy and rapid detection of fluoroquinolones in meat from different species. The
92 detection of enrofloxacin (EF) was based on the competition between free EF and a newly
93 synthesized conjugate, difloxacin-ferrocene, for their binding onto immobilized antibodies (Figure
94 1). To the best of our knowledge, the conjugation of enrofloxacin with ferrocene has never been

described in literature. Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was employed to reveal the binding of difloxacin-ferrocene on immobilized antibodies, and the presence of free EF signal induced a proportional decrease of output signal. Finally, the proposed immunosensor was applied to the detection of EF in different meat samples with reduced preliminary processing.

Figure 1. Detection principle of electrochemical dual immunosensor for EF detection. Working electrode 1 (WE1): In the absence of EF (negative control), the binding of DF-Fc onto anti-quinolone antibodies (AQ-Ab) leads to a high electrochemical signal; Working electrode 2 (WE2): In the presence of EF, competition takes place leading to a decrease in DF-Fc binding, which results in a lower electrochemical signal.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Reagents and apparatus

4-aminobenzoic acid, amoxicillin, ampicillin, bovine serum albumin (BSA), chloramphenicol, dichloromethane, disodium hydrogenophosphate (Na_2HPO_4), ethanolamine, anhydrous ethyl acetate 99,8%, hydrochloric acid 37%, kanamycin, magnesium sulfate (MgSO_4), methanol, 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), potassium chloride (KCl), potassium dihydrogenophosphate (KH_2PO_4), sodium carbonate (Na_2CO_3), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium nitrite (NaNO_2), spectinomycin, sulfuric acid 98%, tetracycline hydrochloride, and Tween® 20 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier,

France). 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC), ciprofloxacin, difloxacin hydrochloride, enoxacin, enrofloxacin, flumequine, norfloxacin, pefloxacin and pipemidic acid were from Alfa Aesar (Kandel, Germany), benzotriazol-1-yloxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP) and triethylamine from Merck (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France), methanol and dichloromethane from VWR Chemicals and aminoferrocene from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Zwijndrecht, Belgium). Polyclonal anti-quinolone antibodies from sheep were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom).

Electrochemical measurements were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT100 potentiostat/galvanostat from Metrohm Autolab BV (Utrecht, Netherlands) driven by Nova 1.11 software. Mass spectral analysis was performed using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) (Thermo Scientific, France). Liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analyses were carried out using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Accela LC/MS device, coupled to a LCQ Fleet equipped with an electrospray ionization source and a 3D ion-trap analyzer.

2.2. Synthesis of difloxacin-ferrocene (DF-Fc) conjugate

The difloxacin-ferrocene (DF-Fc) conjugate was easily produced by a one-step synthesis. Difloxacin (43 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) under stirring. Benzotriazol-1-yloxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP) (65 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added followed by a first moiety of triethylamine (20 μ L, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 eq) in order to facilitate the dissolution of difloxacin. After 2-3 min of stirring, aminoferrocene (20 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq) was introduced followed by the second moiety of triethylamine (20 μ L, 0.15 mmol, 1.5 eq). The mixture was left to react overnight and a dark brown color appeared. The organic layer was diluted in ethyl acetate and washed with 1 M HCl (to eliminate unreacted ferrocene), and Na₂CO₃ 10% (w/v) (to eliminate the unreacted difloxacin) and lastly with saturated NaCl (to eliminate traces of water). Finally, the product was dried over MgSO₄, filtered through Büchner funnel, evaporated and lyophilized. A stock solution of DF-Fc was prepared by dilution of 1 mg of

140 lyophilized powder in 1 mL methanol. Difloxacin, aminoferrocene and DF-Fc were analyzed by
141 LC-MS by injecting 1 mg.L⁻¹ of each solution prepared in methanol.

142 **2.3. Fabrication of screen-printed dual carbon electrodes (SPdCEs)**

143 Screen-printed dual carbon electrodes (SPdCEs) were fabricated using a DEK248
144 (Weymouth, United Kingdom) screen-printing system according to a new dual-electrode design
145 (Figure 2A): two working electrodes (4 mm diameter disk) made of carbon paste (Electrodag 423
146 SS, Scheemda, Netherlands) with two carbon graphite counter electrode (16 mm × 0.8 mm, curved
147 paths), shared a unique pseudo-reference electrode (5 mm × 1.5 mm straight path) made of
148 Ag/AgCl paste (Acheson Electrodag PF-407C, Scheemda, Netherlands). An insulating paint was
149 used to isolate the connectors from the measurement area.

Figure 2. A) Design of the new screen-printed dual working-electrodes device. B) Steps of electrochemical immunosensor elaboration.

2.4. Activation of electrode surface and antibodies immobilization

Figure 2B describes each step of the electrochemical immunosensor fabrication. Before surface modification, the SPdCEs were electrochemically pre-treated by applying five voltammetric scans from +1.0 to -1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl in a sulfuric acid solution (0.5 M H₂SO₄) containing 0.1 M KCl (Dekanski et al., 2001). As previously described, the surface was activated through electrografting of a diazonium salt. 4 mL of reagent was prepared by mixing 1 M NaNO₂ with 2 mM of 4-aminobenzoic acid in 0.5 M HCl (Paniel et al., 2017). After 5 min of reaction at room temperature, surface 4-carboxyphenyl groups were generated by performing a linear sweep

161 voltammetry from +0.4 to -0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl (Paniel et al., 2017). This electro-grafting was
162 followed by activation of carboxylic groups using EDC/NHS: 30 μ L of 100 mM MES buffer pH 5.5
163 containing 100 mM EDC and 25 mM NHS were incubated onto each working electrode during 2 h
164 at room temperature. After washing the electrode with deionized water, 20 μ L of anti-quinolone
165 antibodies prepared in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 were deposited onto the activated surface and allowed to
166 react for 2 h at room temperature. A non-specific adsorption control was prepared by using 1 M
167 ethanolamine instead of antibodies. After the drop removal, modified SPdCEs were finally
168 incubated with 30 μ L of 1% (w/v) BSA overnight at 4°C to block unreacted succinimide groups.
169 The electrochemical immunosensor was then ready for enrofloxacin determination.

170 **2.5. Immunosensor electrochemical characterization**

171 Characterization of SPdCEs was performed in the presence of 2.5-100 μ g.mL⁻¹ DF-Fc
172 prepared in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 containing 10% (v/v) methanol. Differential pulse voltamograms
173 (DPV) were recorded by scanning the potential between -0.6 and +0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl (step 0.001
174 V, modulation amplitude 0.2 V, modulation time 0.02 s, modulation time 0.1 s, scan rate 0.0168
175 V/s).

176 For immunosensor optimization, one working electrode was used as negative control (in the
177 absence of EF) and the other for the detection of EF, each condition was tested in triplicate. For this
178 purpose, 30 μ L of DF-Fc (negative control) or 30 μ L of a mix of DF-Fc and EF (positive control)
179 were deposited onto the corresponding working electrodes and incubated for 45 min. After washing
180 the electrodes with PBS buffer containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, 300 μ L of 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4
181 were deposited on the whole dual-electrode surface to perform DPV measurements. Different DF-
182 Fc concentrations (5 to 100 μ g.mL⁻¹), anti-quinolone antibodies concentrations (3.65 to 3650
183 ng.mL⁻¹) and incubation times (5 to 60 min) were tested to determine optimal experimental
184 conditions for the detection of EF at 0.1 μ g.mL⁻¹. For each optimization step, the ratio I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} was
185 calculated, where I_{WE1} is the current intensity measured in the absence of EF (negative control) and
186 I_{WE2} is the current intensity measured in the presence of EF. Each intensity value was corrected by

187 the signal measured in the absence of antibodies, corresponding to the non-specific adsorption of
188 DF-Fc. Optimal conditions corresponded to those leading to the lower ratio. Finally, a calibration
189 curve was established using EF solutions at concentrations ranging from 0.001 to 0.1 µg.mL⁻¹. This
190 dose-response curve was fitted by non-linear regression using the logistic equation (**Eq.1**) by Origin
191 8.6 program:

192 **Equation 1.** Logistic equation for the description of the dose-response curve.

193
$$I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} = \frac{B - A}{1 + \left(\frac{[EF]}{EC_{50}}\right)^P} + A \quad (1)$$

194 where A is the y-value at the bottom of the plateau of the curve, B is the y-value at the top plateau
195 of the curve, EC₅₀ is the EF concentration required to halve the I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} ratio, and P is the
196 calculated logistical power.

197 **2.6. Meat samples preparation**

198 Incurred meat samples were obtained from the sample bank built by Serrano et al. (2020)
199 from pigs treated with enrofloxacin (Baytriluno, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). Muscle samples
200 from loins, sirloins, forequarters and hindquarters were kept frozen at -20°C and analyzed by
201 HPLC-FLD according to an accredited method (International Organization for Standardization
202 (ISO) 17025:2017). The concentrations described ranged between 18 ± 3 and 1044 ± 44 µg.kg⁻¹.

203 In order to perform immunosensor analysis, a previous step of extraction of the serum was
204 needed. Meat serum was obtained using an extraction protocol optimized for the analysis of
205 antimicrobials in meat (Mata et al., 2014). Briefly, a piece of 3-4 g of muscle was placed into a 50-
206 mL Falcon tube and microwaved in defrost position for 3 min in a water bath. The meat serum
207 produced during this step was collected and centrifuged 3 min at 4000 g. The supernatant was
208 analyzed with the electrochemical immunosensor without any other treatment. A certified free-
209 antibiotic meat sample from this bank was used as negative control.

210

211

212 **2.7. Detection of fluoroquinolones in meat sample with the electrochemical immunosensor**

213 The matrix effect of meat serum on the immunosensor response was analyzed by
214 determining the ratio I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} in buffer solution containing 20-80% (v/v) meat serum certified free
215 of antibiotics.

216 The incurred meat serums were diluted 1:5 in the detection solution ($50\text{ }\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ DF-Fc in
217 PBS buffer pH 7,4 containing 10% (v/v) methanol). A negative control was also prepared with the
218 free-antibiotic certified meat. These solutions were incubated onto modified SPdCEs: the negative
219 control was incubated onto WE1 and incurred meat extract was analyzed on the WE2. The ratio
220 I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} was calculated for each incurred meat. Fluoroquinolone concentrations were then
221 determined using the calibration curve (**Eq.1**).

222

223 **3. Results and Discussion**

224 **3.1. Synthesis of difloxacin-ferrocene conjugate**

225 The working principle of the immunosensor is based on the competition between free EF
226 and a newly synthesized conjugate, difloxacin-ferrocene (DF-Fc) for their binding to immobilized
227 antibodies. Difloxacin is another fluoroquinolone that was selected due to its lower affinity for anti-
228 quinolone antibodies (18% relative binding, according to Abcam product datasheet), which allows
229 promoting the binding of EF during competition step. The synthesis of DF-Fc conjugate was based
230 on the formation of an amide bond between difloxacin (**1**) and the redox probe aminoferrocene (**2**)
231 (Figure 3A). This one-step synthesis was mediated by a coupling agent, benzotriazol-1-
232 yloxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP), allowing the activation of
233 difloxacin hydroxyl group. The purity of the resulting DF-Fc conjugate (**3**) was controlled by liquid
234 chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS), showing a unique molecular ion at
235 583.04 g/mol that corresponds exactly to the protonated DF-Fc molar mass (Supplementary data,
236 Figure S1).

Figure 3. A) Synthesis of difloxacin-ferrocene conjugate (**3**) by the formation of an amide bond between

aminoferrocene (**1**) and difloxacin (**2**). B) DPV scans (from -0.6 to +0.5 V; step 0.001 V, modulation amplitude 0.2 V, modulation time 0.02 s, modulation time 0.1 s, scan rate 0.0168 V.s⁻¹) in the presence of 0 (a), 2.5 (b), 5 (c), 7.5 (d), 10 (e), 20 (f), 50 (g) and 100 (h) μg.mL⁻¹ DF-Fc (in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 containing 10% (v/v)

methanol). The inset shows maximal anodic current intensity for each DF-Fc concentration. C) Anodic current intensity measured with the immunosensor after incubation of 5-100 μg.mL⁻¹ DF-Fc (antibody theoretical loading: 1.46 ng).

3.2. Electrochemical characterization of difloxacin-ferrocene conjugate

Firstly, the newly synthesized difloxacin-ferrocene conjugate (DF-Fc) was characterized by carrying out differential pulsed voltammetry (DPV) experiments between -0.6 and +0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 containing 10% (v/v) methanol to improve the solubility of the conjugate (Figure 3B). Oxidation of conjugate-linked Fc was observed at around +0.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl, and the obtained anodic current allowed establishing a calibration curve for DF-Fc concentrations ranging from 2.5 to 100 μg.mL⁻¹ (Figure 3B inset). The resulting curve follows a hyperbolic shape, with a linear range between 2.5 and 10 μg.mL⁻¹, and a sensitivity of 1202 μA.mg⁻¹.mL. It was calculated that variability of the signal measured with 10 different electrodes in

254 presence of 50 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ DF-Fc was 2%, showing that home-made screen-printed electrodes are
255 suitable transducers for DF-Fc detection.

256 In a second step, the affinity of DF-Fc for anti-quinolone antibodies was evaluated by
257 incubating the designed immunosensor with DF-Fc at concentrations ranging from 5 to 100 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$
258 (Figure 3C). For each concentration, current intensities were corrected by the signal measured in the
259 absence of antibodies, corresponding to the non-specific adsorption of DF-Fc. It was shown that the
260 corrected current increases linearly with DF-Fc concentration and reaches a plateau at 3.3 μA in the
261 presence of 50 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ DF-Fc, corresponding to the saturation of immobilized antibodies (Figure
262 3C). In these conditions, a satisfying variability was measured with a relative standard deviation of
263 4.3%, measured using three different electrodes.

264 **3.3. Performance of the electrochemical immunosensors for the detection of enrofloxacin**

265 The proposed electrochemical immunosensor was used to detect EF based on a competition
266 between EF and DF-Fc for their binding to immobilized antibodies (Figure 1). Due to the higher
267 affinity of antibodies for EF than for DF-Fc, the preferential binding of EF led to a decrease of
268 electrochemical signal. The immunosensor performances were optimized in order to reach the
269 desired threshold of 0.1 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF. The new dual working electrode design allowed the
270 simultaneous measurement of negative control on WE1 (in the absence of EF) and positive control
271 on WE2 (for various EF concentrations). The measured currents were corrected from the error due
272 to non-specific binding of DF-Fc, which was calculated from triplicate measurements prior to each
273 measurement series. The ratios $I_{\text{WE2}}/I_{\text{WE1}}$ were calculated for different DF-Fc concentrations, using
274 various antibody loadings and different incubation times. It was shown that the detection of EF was
275 optimal using an antibody loading of 1.46 ng in presence of 50 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ DF-Fc and using an
276 incubation time of 45 min. Under these optimal conditions, a calibration curve was established with
277 EF at concentrations ranging from 0.001 to 0.1 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ (Figure 4A). The $I_{\text{WE2}}/I_{\text{WE1}}$ ratio decreases
278 with the increase of EF concentration, showing a typical behavior of a competitive assay. According
279 the logistic model (**Eq.1**) used to fit this dose-response curve, the EF concentration necessary to

280 halve the signal (EC_{50}) was $0.010 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ and the limit of detection calculated for 90% response
 281 ratio was $0.003 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$. These results show that the performance of the developed sensor is
 282 suitable for the determination of EF in meat samples.

283
 284 **Figure 4.** A) Dose response curve measured in the presence of 0.001 to $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF and $50 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ DF-Fc (in
 285 PBS pH 7.4, incubation time 45 min, antibody 1.46 ng). Curve fitting using a logistical model (black line)
 286 exhibiting the following equation: $I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} = (1/(1+([EF]/0.010)^{1.84}))$ ($R^2 = 0.989$). B) Relative signal (expressed
 287 in percentage of EF signal) measured in the presence of $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ of spectinomycin (Spec), amoxicillin
 288 (Amox), kanamycin (Kana), chloramphenicol (Chlo), tetracycline (Tet) and ampicillin (Amp). C) Relative signal
 289 (expressed in percentage of EF signal) measured in the presence of $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ quinolones: flumequine (Flu),
 290 pefloxacin (Pef), norfloxacin (Norf), pipemidic acid (AcPip), ciprofloxacin (Cipro), difloxacin (Diflo) and
 291 enoxacin (Enox).

292 3.4. Selectivity towards other antibiotics

293 The selectivity of the electrochemical immunosensor was evaluated by incubating modified
 294 SPdCEs with $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ of various antibiotics from different classes: spectinomycin and
 295 kanamycin (aminoglycosides class), chloramphenicol (phenicols class), tetracycline (cyclines class)

296 and amoxicillin and ampicillin (β -lactams class). According to Figure 4B, these antibiotics do not
297 significantly interfere with EF detection.

298 The immunosensor was then tested using different quinolones including flumequine, pefloxacin,
299 norfloxacin, pipemidic acid, ciprofloxacin, difloxacin and enoxacin (Figure 4C). Due to the non-
300 specificity of antibodies for one fluoroquinolone, an inhibition was detected for all the
301 fluoroquinolones tested. It must be stressed that flumequine and pipemidic acid presented lower
302 signals, probably due to the absence of a piperazine ring in flumequine and of a fluor atom in
303 pipemidic acid (Supplementary data, Figure S2). These two elements thus seem to be mandatory for
304 antibodies specific recognition.

305 **3.5. Reproducibility and storage stability of the immunosensor**

306 The immunosensor reproducibility was evaluated by testing the response of five sensors,
307 prepared independently under the same conditions, in the presence of $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ enrofloxacin. The
308 corresponding $I_{\text{WE2}}/I_{\text{WE1}}$ ratios were calculated and a relative standard deviation of 5.5% was
309 obtained, indicating that the electrochemical immunosensor shows a satisfactory reproducibility.

310 Long-term stability was also evaluated using 6 immunosensors prepared in the same optimal
311 conditions and stored during one month at 4°C . The results showed that 94% of current intensity
312 was conserved after one month and that a comparable $I_{\text{WE2}}/I_{\text{WE1}}$ ratio was obtained in the presence
313 of $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF, showing an appropriate stability of this electrochemical immunosensor. These
314 storage conditions could allow the mass production of the proposed immunosensor but need further
315 optimization for potential commercialization.

316 **3.6. Detection of fluoroquinolones in meat samples**

317 The proposed immunosensor was used to detect EF from meat samples. Firstly, serum from
318 antibiotic-free meat samples was extracted and tested using the immunosensor to evaluate its
319 potential matrix effect. The electrochemical response (I_{WE1}) was recorded in the presence of 20-
320 80% (v/v) of meat serum in the incubation solution. The current intensity (I_{WE2}) was measured in
321 parallel with 20-80% (v/v) of the serum spiked with $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF, and the response ratios

322 I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} were determined for each serum percentage. The achieved results were reported as
 323 relative percentages, in comparison with the ratio measured without serum (Figure 5A). It was
 324 shown that meat serum does not affect the immunosensor response when used at a volume ratio of
 325 20%, while higher amounts dramatically decrease its response. The same ratio of 20% was thus
 326 tested using muscle meat serums from other animals (chicken, beef, lamb, duck, horse and turkey),
 327 which were extracted and analyzed using the same protocol. As shown in Figure 5B, the addition of
 328 $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF led to very similar relative signals, showing that the optimized protocol is suitable
 329 for the detection of fluoroquinolones in a wide range of meats.

330
 331 **Figure 5.** A) Immunosenor relative signal (in comparison with the I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} ratio obtained in the absence of meat
 332 serum) measured in the presence of $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF and 20-80% (v/v) of meat serum. B) Immunosenor relative
 333 signal (in comparison with the I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} ratio obtained in buffer) measured in the presence of 20% (v/v) meat
 334 serums from pork, beef, chicken, lamb, turkey, duck and horse meat, spiked with $0.1 \mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$ EF.

335
 336 Incurred samples obtained from pigs treated with different doses of fluoroquinolones were analyzed
 337 using the developed immunosenor. For each piece of meat, the I_{WE2}/I_{WE1} ratio was determined
 338 using the previously described strategy, allowing the determination of a fluoroquinolone
 339 concentration expressed in $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$. Figure 6 presents the correlation between the fluoroquinolone
 340 concentration found by HPLC-FLD (Serrano et al., 2020) and data obtained from the analysis
 341 performed with the new immunosenor. A satisfying correlation coefficient ($R^2 = 0.975$) was found
 342 between the results achieved using both technologies, showing that the electrochemical

immunosensor could be a very useful tool for the detection of fluoroquinolone antibiotics in meat samples. Moreover, it was shown that the simplicity of the extraction process was sufficient to detect these antibiotics with accuracy.

346

Figure 6. Correlation between the fluoroquinolone concentration determined by the proposed electrochemical immunosensor (expressed in $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$) and determined by HPLC-FLD (expressed in $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$) for each incurred meat sample tested. The dotted line exhibited the following equation: $y=1.1408x$ ($R^2=0.975$).

350

351 4. Conclusions

This work describes for the first time the development of an electrochemical immunosensor for the detection of enrofloxacin (EF) antibiotic in meat samples. The device is based on the competitive binding of EF and a ferrocene-labelled conjugate (DF-Fc), which was synthesized and characterized by differential pulse voltammetry. Under optimal conditions, the proposed immunosensor was able to determine EF at concentrations ranging from 0.005 to 0.01 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$, with a detection limit of 0.003 $\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}$. The use of a dual-working electrode design allowed taking into account the inter-electrode variability by using one electrode as negative control. This new method exhibited an acceptable stability, good reproducibility and adequate selectivity towards other antibiotics. Spiked EF was detected in pork, chicken, beef, lamb, horse, duck and turkey meats after a rapid and easy extraction method. Finally, real samples were also analyzed and led to an accurate EF quantification.

362

363

364 **Acknowledgements**

365 This project was co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through
366 the Interreg V-A Spain-France-Andorra program (POCTEFA 2014-2020) (EFA (Spain-France-
367 Andorra) 152/16). POCTEFA aims to reinforce the economic and social integration of the French–
368 Spanish–Andorran border. Its support is focused on developing economic, social, and
369 environmental cross-border activities through joint strategies favoring sustainable territorial
370 development. LC-MS analyses have been performed using the Biodiversité et Biotechnologies
371 Marines (Bio2Mar) facilities (Metabolites Secondaires Xenobiotiques Metabolomique
372 Environnementale (MSXM) platform) of the University de Perpignan Via Domitia
373 (<http://bio2mar.obs-banyuls.fr/>).

374

375 **References**

- 376 Alekshun, M. N., & Levy, S. B. (2007). Molecular Mechanisms of Antibacterial Multidrug
377 Resistance. *Cell*, 128(6), 1037–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.03.004>
- 378 Aronson, J. K. (2016). Fluoroquinolones. In J. K. Aronson (Ed.), *Meyler's Side Effects of Drugs*
379 *16th Edition* (pp. 368–381).
- 380 Bahadir, E. B., & Sezgintürk, M. K. (2016). A review on impedimetric biosensors. *Artificial Cells,*
381 *Nanomedicine and Biotechnology*, 44(1), 248–262.
382 <https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.942456>
- 383 Blondeau, J. M. (2004). Fluoroquinolones: Mechanism of action, classification, and development of
384 resistance. *Survey of Ophthalmology*, 49(2 SUPPL. 2), 1–6.
385 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.survophthal.2004.01.005>
- 386 Brown, K., Uwiera, R. R. E., Kalmokoff, M. L., Brooks, S. P. J., & Inglis, G. D. (2017).
387 Antimicrobial growth promoter use in livestock: a requirement to understand their modes of
388 action to develop effective alternatives. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 49(1),

389 12–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.08.006>

390 Casas-Solvas, J. M., Ortiz-Salmerón, E., Giménez-Martínez, J. J., García-Fuentes, L., Capitán-
391 Vallvey, L. F., Santoyo-González, F., & Vargas-Berenguel, A. (2009). Ferrocene-carbohydrate
392 conjugates as electrochemical probes for molecular recognition studies. *Chemistry - A
393 European Journal*, 15(3), 710–725. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200800927>

394 Cháfer-Pericás, C., Maquieira, Á., & Puchades, R. (2010). Fast screening methods to detect
395 antibiotic residues in food samples. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 29(9), 1038–1049.
396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2010.06.004>

397 Chen, X., Xu, H., Lai, W., Chen, Y., Yang, X., & Xiong, Y. (2012). A sensitive chromatographic
398 strip test for the rapid detection of enrofloxacin in chicken muscle. *Food Additives and
399 Contaminants - Part A Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment*, 29(3),
400 383–391. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2011.641509>

401 Chohan, Z. H. (2009). Synthesis of organometallic-based biologically active compounds: In vitro
402 antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of some sulfonamide incorporated
403 ferrocenes. *Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry*, 24(1), 169–175.
404 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14756360801948766>

405 Dechtrirat, D., Gajovic-Eichelmann, N., Wojcik, F., Hartmann, L., Bier, F. F., & Scheller, F. W.
406 (2014). Electrochemical displacement sensor based on ferrocene boronic acid tracer and
407 immobilized glycan for saccharide binding proteins and E. coli. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*,
408 58, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2014.02.028>

409 Dekanski, A., Stevanović, J., Stevanović, R., Nikolić, B. Ž., & Jovanović, V. M. (2001). Glassy
410 carbon electrodes: I. Characterization and electrochemical activation. *Carbon*, 39(8), 1195–
411 1205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6223\(00\)00228-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6223(00)00228-1)

412 Diana, A., Santinello, M., Penasa, M., Scali, F., Magni, E., Alborali, G. L., Bertocchi, L., & De
413 Marchi, M. (2020). Use of antimicrobials in beef cattle: an observational study in the north of
414 Italy. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 181(January), 105032.

415 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2020.105032>

416 Dolati, S., Ramezani, M., Nabavinia, M. S., Soheili, V., Abnous, K., & Taghdisi, S. M. (2018).
 417 Selection of specific aptamer against enrofloxacin and fabrication of graphene oxide based
 418 label-free fluorescent assay. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 549, 124–129.
 419 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2018.03.021>

420 Dunnett, M., Richardson, D. W., & Lees, P. (2004). Detection of enrofloxacin and its metabolite
 421 ciprofloxacin in equine hair. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 77(2), 143–151.
 422 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2004.03.004>

423 Escamilla-Gómez, V., Hernández-Santos, D., González-García, M. B., Pingarrón-Carrazón, J. M.,
 424 & Costa-García, A. (2009). Simultaneous detection of free and total prostate specific antigen
 425 on a screen-printed electrochemical dual sensor. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 24(8), 2678–
 426 2683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2009.01.043>

427 European Medicines Agency. (2019). Categorisation of antibiotics in the European Union.
 428 *Ema/Cvmp/Chmp/682198/2017*, 31(December), 73.
 429 [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/categorisation-antibiotics-european-union-](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/categorisation-antibiotics-european-union-answer-request-european-commission-updating-scientific_en.pdf)
 430 [answer-request-european-commission-updating-scientific_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/categorisation-antibiotics-european-union-answer-request-european-commission-updating-scientific_en.pdf)

431 Felix, F. S., & Angnes, L. (2018). Electrochemical immunosensors – A powerful tool for analytical
 432 applications. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 102, 470–478.
 433 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.11.029>

434 Garifallou, G. Z., Tsekenis, G., Davis, F., Higson, S. P. J., Millner, P. A., Pinacho, D. G., Sanchez-
 435 Baeza, F., Marco, M. P., & Gibson, T. D. (2007). Labelless immunosensor assay for
 436 fluoroquinolone antibiotics based upon an AC impedance protocol. *Analytical Letters*, 40(7),
 437 1412–1422. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00032710701327070>

438 Giroud, F., Gorgy, K., Gondran, C., Cosnier, S., Pinacho, D. G., Marco, M. P., & Sánchez-Baeza,
 439 F. J. (2009). Impedimetric immunosensor based on a polypyrrole-antibiotic model film for the
 440 label-free picomolar detection of ciprofloxacin. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(20), 8405–8409.

441 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac901290m>

442 Ha, M. S., Chung, M. S., & Bae, D. H. (2016). Simple detection of residual enrofloxacin in meat
 443 products using microparticles and biochips. *Food Additives and Contaminants - Part A*
 444 *Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment*, 33(5), 817–823.
 445 <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2016.1179560>

446 He, Z., Zang, S., Liu, Y., He, Y., & Lei, H. (2015). A multi-walled carbon nanotubes-poly(l-lysine)
 447 modified enantioselective immunosensor for ofloxacin by using multi-enzyme-labeled gold
 448 nanoflower as signal enhancer. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 73, 85–92.
 449 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2015.05.054>

450 Hu, S., Fang, B., Huang, Z., Chen, Y., Liu, D., Xing, K., Peng, J., & Lai, W. (2019). Using
 451 molecular descriptors for assisted screening of heterologous competitive antigens to improve
 452 the sensitivity of ELISA for detection of enrofloxacin in raw milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
 453 102(7), 6037–6046. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-16048>

454 Huang, X., Aguilar, Z. P., Li, H., Lai, W., Wei, H., Xu, H., & Xiong, Y. (2013). Fluorescent
 455 Ru(phen)₃²⁺-doped silica nanoparticles-based ICTS sensor for quantitative detection of
 456 enrofloxacin residues in chicken meat. *Analytical Chemistry*, 85(10), 5120–5128.
 457 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac400502v>

458 Ionescu, R. E., Jaffrezic-Renault, N., Bouffier, L., Gondran, C., Cosnier, S., Pinacho, D. G., Marco,
 459 M. P., Sánchez-Baeza, F. J., Healy, T., & Martelet, C. (2007). Impedimetric immunosensor for
 460 the specific label free detection of ciprofloxacin antibiotic. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*,
 461 23(4), 549–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2007.07.014>

462 Khor, S. M., Liu, G., Peterson, J. R., Iyengar, S. G., & Gooding, J. J. (2011). An Electrochemical
 463 Immunobiosensor for Direct Detection of Veterinary Drug Residues in Undiluted Complex
 464 Matrices. *Electroanalysis*, 23(8), 1797–1804. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201100205>

465 Kivirand, K., Min, M., & Rinken, T. (2019). Challenges and Applications of Impedance-Based
 466 Biosensors in Water Analysis. *Biosensors for Environmental Monitoring*.

467 <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.89334>

468 Lewandowski, E. M., Szczupak, Ł., Kowalczyk, A., Mendoza, G., Arruebo, M., Jacobs, L. M. C.,
 469 Stączek, P., Chen, Y., & Kowalski, K. (2020). Metallocenyl 7-ACA Conjugates: Antibacterial
 470 Activity Studies and Atomic- Resolution X-ray Crystal Structure with CTX-M β -Lactamase.
 471 *Chembiochem*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202000054>

472 Liu, B., Li, M., Zhao, Y., Pan, M., Gu, Y., Sheng, W., Fang, G., & Wang, S. (2018). A sensitive
 473 electrochemical immunosensor based on PAMAM dendrimer-encapsulated Au for detection of
 474 norfloxacin in animal-derived foods. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 18(6).
 475 <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18061946>

476 Martić, S., Labib, M., Shipman, P. O., & Kraatz, H. B. (2011). Ferrocene-peptido conjugates: From
 477 synthesis to sensory applications. *Dalton Transactions*, 40(28), 7264–7290.
 478 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0dt01707h>

479 Mata, L., Sanz, D., & Razquin, P. (2014). Validation of the Explorer® 2.0 test coupled to e-
 480 Reader® for the screening of antimicrobials in muscle from different animal species. *Food*
 481 *Additives and Contaminants - Part A Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk*
 482 *Assessment*, 31(9), 1496–1505. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2014.934303>

483 Mollarasouli, F., Kurbanoglu, S., & Ozkan, S. A. (2019). The role of electrochemical
 484 immunosensors in clinical analysis. *Biosensors*, 9(3), 1–19.
 485 <https://doi.org/10.3390/bios9030086>

486 Nakayama, M., Ihara, T., Nakano, K., & Maeda, M. (2002). DNA sensors using a ferrocene-
 487 oligonucleotide conjugate. *Talanta*, 56(5), 857–866. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140(01)00659-2)
 488 [9140\(01\)00659-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140(01)00659-2)

489 Paniel, N., Istamboulié, G., Triki, A., Lozano, C., Barthelmebs, L., & Noguér, T. (2017). Selection
 490 of DNA aptamers against penicillin G using Capture-SELEX for the development of an
 491 impedimetric sensor. *Talanta*, 162(September 2016), 232–240.
 492 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2016.09.058>

493 Panzenhagen, P. H. N., Aguiar, W. S., Gouvêa, R., de Oliveira, A. M. G., Barreto, F., Pereira, V. L.
 494 A., & Aquino, M. H. C. (2016). Investigation of enrofloxacin residues in broiler tissues using
 495 ELISA and LC-MS/MS. *Food Additives and Contaminants - Part A Chemistry, Analysis,*
 496 *Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment*, 33(4), 639–643.
 497 <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2016.1143566>
 498 Peris-Vicente, J., Tayeb-Cherif, K., Carda-Broch, S., & Esteve-Romero, J. (2017). Validation of a
 499 procedure to quantify oxolinic acid, danofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and enrofloxacin in selected
 500 meats by micellar liquid chromatography according to EU Commission Decision
 501 2002/657/EC. *Electrophoresis*, 38(16), 2011–2017. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201700159>
 502 Rezende, J. de P., Pacheco, A. F. C., Magalhães, O. F., Coelho, Y. L., Vidigal, M. C. T. R., da
 503 Silva, L. H. M., & Pires, A. C. dos S. (2019). Polydiacetylene/triblock copolymer/surfactant
 504 nanoblend: A simple and rapid method for the colorimetric screening of enrofloxacin residue.
 505 *Food Chemistry*, 280, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.033>
 506 Sánchez-Tirado, E., Salvo, C., González-Cortés, A., Yáñez-Sedeño, P., Langa, F., & Pingarrón, J.
 507 M. (2017). Electrochemical immunosensor for simultaneous determination of interleukin-1
 508 beta and tumor necrosis factor alpha in serum and saliva using dual screen printed electrodes
 509 modified with functionalized double-walled carbon nanotubes. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 959,
 510 66–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2016.12.034>
 511 Serrano, M. J., Mitjana, O., Bonastre, C., Laborda, A., Falceto, M. V., García-Gonzalo, D.,
 512 Abilleira, E., Elorduy, J., Bousquet-Melou, A., Mata, L., Condón, S., & Pagán, R. (2020). Is
 513 blood a good indicator for detecting antimicrobials in meat? Evidence for the development of
 514 in vivo surveillance methods. *Antibiotics*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9040175>
 515 Sharma, A., Istamboulie, G., Hayat, A., Catanante, G., Bhand, S., & Marty, J. L. (2017). Disposable
 516 and portable aptamer functionalized impedimetric sensor for detection of kanamycin residue in
 517 milk sample. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 245, 507–515.
 518 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.02.002>

519 Shi, Q., Teng, Y., Zhang, Y., & Liu, W. (2018). Rapid detection of organophosphorus pesticide
 520 residue on Prussian blue modified dual-channel screen-printed electrodes combining with
 521 portable potentiostat. *Chinese Chemical Letters*, 29(9), 1379–1382.
 522 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2017.11.023>

523 Skiba, J., Rajnisz, A., De Oliveira, K. N., Ott, I., Solecka, J., & Kowalski, K. (2012). Ferrocenyl
 524 bioconjugates of ampicillin and 6-aminopenicillanic acid - Synthesis, electrochemistry and
 525 biological activity. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 57, 234–239.
 526 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.09.023>

527 Štěpnička, P. (2008). Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules. In *Ferrocenes: Ligands,*
 528 *Materials and Biomolecules*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470985663>

529 Taleat, Z., Khoshroo, A., & Mazloun-Ardakani, M. (2014). Screen-printed electrodes for
 530 biosensing: A review (2008-2013). *Microchimica Acta*, 181(9–10), 865–891.
 531 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-014-1181-1>

532 Tenover, F. C. (2006). Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria. *American Journal of*
 533 *Medicine*, 119(6 SUPPL. 1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.03.011>

534 Tumini, M., Nagel, O. G., & Althaus, R. L. (2019). Five-assay microbiological system for the
 535 screening of antibiotic residues. *Revista Argentina de Microbiologia*, 51(4), 345–353.
 536 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2019.01.002>

537 Wang, L., Wu, X., & Xie, Z. (2005). Determination of enrofloxacin and its metabolite ciprofloxacin
 538 by high performance capillary electrophoresis with end-column amperometric detection.
 539 *Journal of Separation Science*, 28(11), 1143–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200400110>

540 Wang, Z., Zhang, H., Ni, H., Zhang, S., & Shen, J. (2014). Development of a highly sensitive and
 541 specific immunoassay for enrofloxacin based on heterologous coating haptens. *Analytica*
 542 *Chimica Acta*, 820(235), 152–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2014.02.043>

543 Wolfson, J. S., & Hooper, D. C. (1985). The fluoroquinolones: Structures, mechanisms of action
 544 and resistance, and spectra of activity in vitro. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 28(4),

581–586. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.28.4.581>

Wu, C. C., Lin, C. H., & Wang, W. S. (2009). Development of an enrofloxacin immunosensor based on label-free electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. *Talanta*, 79(1), 62–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2009.03.006>

Wu, Q., Peng, D., Liu, Q., Bakr Shabbir, M. A., Sajid, A., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., & Yuan, Z. (2019). A novel microbiological method in microtiter plates for screening seven kinds of widely used antibiotics residues in milk, chicken egg and honey. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10(MAR), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00436>

Xu, X., Liu, L., Jia, Z., & Shu, Y. (2015). Determination of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin in foods of animal origin by capillary electrophoresis with field amplified sample stacking-sweeping technique. *Food Chemistry*, 176, 219–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.054>

Zang, S., Liu, Y., Lin, M., Kang, J., Sun, Y., & Lei, H. (2013). A dual amplified electrochemical immunosensor for ofloxacin: Polypyrrole film-Au nanocluster as the matrix and multi-enzyme-antibody functionalized gold nanorod as the label. *Electrochimica Acta*, 90, 246–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2012.12.021>

Zhang, H. T., Jiang, J. Q., Wang, Z. L., Chang, X. Y., Liu, X. Y., Wang, S. H., Zhao, K., & Chen, J. S. (2011). Development of an indirect competitive ELISA for simultaneous detection of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. *Journal of Zhejiang University: Science B*, 12(11), 884–891. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B1100076>

Supplementary data

Figure S1. Chromatogram of aminoferrocene (A), difloxacin (B) and DF-Fc conjugate after synthesis, purification and lyophilization (C) (1 mg.l^{-1} prepared in methanol). Reagent peaks (difloxacin and aminoferrocene) were absent in this last spectrum, showing that they were totally consumed/eliminated. D) m/z spectrum of DF-Fc at 12.4 min retention time.

Figure S2. Structure of enrofloxacin (A), flumequine (B) and pipemidic acid (C).