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Abstract. Ocean acidification is a result of the uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere into the ocean and has been identified as a major environmental and economic threat. The release of several thousands of petagrams of carbon over a few hundred years will have an overwhelming effect on surface ocean carbon reservoirs. The recorded and anticipated changes in seawater carbonate chemistry will presumably affect global oceanic carbonate production. Coccolithophores as the primary calcifying phytoplankton group, and especially *Emiliania huxleyi* as the most abundant species have shown a reduction of calcification at increased CO₂ concentrations for the majority of strains tested in culture experiments. A reduction of calcification is associated with a decrease in coccolith weight. However, the effect in monoclonal cultures is relatively small compared to the strong variability displayed in natural *E. huxleyi* communities, as these are a mix of genetically and sometimes morphologically distinct types. Average coccolith weight is likely influenced by the variability in seawater carbonate chemistry in different parts of the world’s oceans and on glacial/interglacial time scales due to both physiological effects and morphotype selectivity. An effect of the ongoing ocean acidification on *E. huxleyi* calcification has so far not been documented in situ. Here, we analyze *E. huxleyi* coccolith weight from the NW Mediterranean Sea in a 12-year sediment trap series, and surface sediment and sediment core samples using an automated recognition and analyzing software. Our findings clearly show (1) a continuous decrease in the average coccolith weight of *E. huxleyi* from 1993 to 2005, reaching levels below pre-industrial (Holocene) and industrial (20th century) values recorded in the sedimentary record and (2) seasonal variability in coccolith weight that is linked to the coccolithophore productivity. The observed long-term decrease in coccolith weight is most likely a result of the changes in the surface ocean carbonate system. Our results provide the first indications of an in situ impact of ocean acidification on coccolithophore weight in a natural *E. huxleyi* population, even in the highly alkaline Mediterranean Sea.

1 Introduction

A key question in global climate change research is how the uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere into the ocean will affect the ocean ecosystem in the near future (Bindoff et al., 2007; Kleypas et al., 2006; Kroeker et al., 2013). Model projections have shown that the global carbonate system will undergo substantial changes with a drastic decline in pH and calcium carbonate saturation by the end of the century (Orr et al., 2005; Zeebe, 2012). Calcifying organisms are most likely to be negatively affected by the process commonly called ocean acidification (OA), and a series of studies have demonstrated the sensitivity of calcification
in response to OA for a variety of different organism groups (Kleypas, 1999; Lischka et al., 2011; Moy et al., 2009; Riebesell et al., 2000; van de Waal, 2013). Coccolithophores are the major calcifying phytoplankton group and a reduction in coccolithophore calcium carbonate production influences the global carbonate cycle and reduces organic carbon export (Gehlen et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2012). Various culturing studies have demonstrated that the most abundant coccolithophore species *Emiliania huxleyi* reacts to increased CO$_2$ with a decrease in calcification, but the response is highly variable between strains (De Bodt et al., 2010; Langer et al., 2009; Hoppe et al., 2011). The genetic diversity among *E. huxleyi* (Read et al., 2013) even contains a haplotype that can heavily calcify under elevated CO$_2$ and is environmentally restricted to high latitudes and upwelling regions (Beaufort et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2012), but this has not been reported in the Mediterranean thus far (Beaufort et al., 2011).

The in situ response to OA is more difficult to investigate, as physiological measurements on coccolithophore calcification are not feasible over extended time periods in the field. The degree of coccolith calcification is defined as the “primary variation in amount of biogenic calcite incorporated in a coccolith” (Young et al., 1997). Coccolith calcification is expressed by coccolith weight and increases under conditions that are favorable for coccolithophore calcification. Therefore it is an indicator for the calcification rate of the coccolithophore cell (Bach et al., 2012). A global study on the variations of coccolith weight over the natural range of CO$_2$ concentrations in the world’s oceans has shown that coccolith weight decreases with increasing CO$_2$ and this effect can also be traced back in sedimentary archives of the last glaciation under natural CO$_2$ variations (Beaufort et al., 2011). However, the variability in the degree of coccolith calcification between *E. huxleyi* types is an important factor when investigating the response of average coccolith weight to OA events in natural assemblages (Berger et al., 2014), and previous reports on an increase in *E. huxleyi* weight in response to ocean acidification may be due to morphotype variability (Beaufort et al., 2011; Grelaud et al., 2009; Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008). In summary, coccolith weight decreases in laboratory experiments mimicking future OA scenarios, it decreases during periods of natural CO$_2$ increase during the last glacial termination, and it is currently lower in oceanic regions with naturally elevated CO$_2$ concentrations.

In this study we tested whether the ongoing ocean acidification has already affected the weight of *E. huxleyi* in the NW Mediterranean Sea. We carried out weight measurements on *E. huxleyi* coccoliths from a sediment trap series deployed in the Gulf of Lions from 1993 to 2005, and compared them to environmental data in order to determine which environmental factors may have driven changes in coccolithophore calcification. We also investigated sediment records from the region representing the 20th century and the Holocene in order to test if coccolith weight has decreased since the beginning of the industrial age.

### 2 Site description

The Mediterranean Sea is known to be highly supersaturated with respect to carbonate throughout the entire basin from the surface to depth (Álvarez et al., 2014; Schneider et al., 2010, 2007). At the same time, it serves as a sink for anthropogenic CO$_2$, which is absorbed in the surface layers and transported into the deeper parts of the basin through mixing (Ait-Ameur and Goyet, 2006; Bethoux et al., 2005). The absolute values of anthropogenic carbon in the Mediterranean Sea are exceptionally high and a decrease in pH between 0.061 and 0.148 since the pre-industrial age has been suggested (Schneider et al., 2010; Touratier and Goyet, 2011; Touratier et al., 2012). These unique features make the Mediterranean an interesting subject for studying the effect of anthropogenic acidification on calcifying organisms.

A key area that combines all of these aspects is the NW Mediterranean. A natural annual variation in pCO$_2$ driven mainly by temperature can be observed in the surface water column with the highest values occurring in the upper 50 m in summer under well-stratified conditions (Copin-Montégut et al., 2004). In winter the water column becomes unstable at temperatures below ~13 °C and the surface water mixes with the underlying intermediate waters that are rich in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). This leads to an increase in pCO$_2$ in surface waters in autumn and early winter when biological production is low. However, mixing also brings nutrients into the photic zone resulting in phytoplankton blooms beginning in late winter and early spring, which lead to a pCO$_2$ decrease (Copin-Montégut and Bégovie, 2002; Copin-Montégut et al., 2004).

Long-term algal pigment observations at the Dynamics of Atmospheric Fluxes in the MEDiterranean Sea (DYFAMED) site in the NW Mediterranean Sea have shown that haptophytes (including coccolithophores) are generally the dominant algal class throughout the year (Marty and Chiaverini, 2010; Marty et al., 2002). Production of haptophytes is highest in February/March and mostly takes place between 20 and 50 m water depth (Marty et al., 2002). This annual cycle is controlled by a seasonal change between nitrate limitation during winter and phosphate limitation during summer (Marty et al., 2002).

A prominent hydrological change was observed in the NW Mediterranean Sea in 2006, with a warming of the deeper water masses due to mixing of the entire water column during winter (Marty and Chiaverini, 2010). This event was presumably preconditioned by drought years from 2003 to 2005, leading to a salinity increase and subsequently more intense mixing in winter. After these intense mixing events, nutrient levels were higher than usual, leading to diatoms becoming more abundant during the following spring blooms (Marty and Chiaverini, 2010).
3 Material and methods

The sediment trap material investigated here originates from the Gulf of Lions, NW Mediterranean Sea (43°02′N, 5°11′E, 1030 m water depth, Fig. 1). A sediment trap was continuously deployed over 6-month periods from 1993 to 2005 in the Planier canyon at 500 m water depth and 530 m above the bottom. No samples were available for the periods February–April 1994, June 1996–January 1997, June–September 2000 and May–September 2004. The collected particles used here were filtered and picked to remove microzooplankton from the samples. The trap details and the description of the original sample processing have been published before (Heussner et al., 2006). Trap cups were filled with a formalin solution and neutralized with sodium borate, and pH was monitored before deployment and before processing to verify and ensure carbonate preservation.

Splits of the original sediment trap samples were brought into homogenous suspension in water. An aliquot representing between 0.2 and 2 mg of trap particles was taken from the suspension with a glass pipette and placed on a 47 mm diameter and 0.45 µm pore size cellulose nitrate filter. The filter suspension with a glass pipette and placed on a 47 mm diameter and 0.45 µm pore size cellulose nitrate filter. The filter was oven dried at 50 °C overnight and embedded in Canada balsam on a glass slide to make the filter transparent.

All slides were analyzed with a polarizing microscope (Leica DMRBE) with a 2 megapixel Spot Insight camera. Routine checks on the assemblage showed that *E. huxleyi* dominated the assemblage throughout the record. 40 fields of view were taken automatically and analyzed with the automated recognition software SYRACO (Beaufort and Dollfus, 2004). Image analysis was performed on the output files for *Emiliania huxleyi* to obtain length and weight of the individual coccoliths. In total 35 602 coccoliths were identified. Only those data points within the inner fence of a box and whisker plot of coccolith length and weight included in the analysis (values larger than 4.22 µm length and 12.28 pg weight were eliminated – 1035 data points). For methodological details see previous studies (Beaufort, 2005; Beaufort et al., 2011, 2008).

The selected surface and the Holocene sediment samples were collected during RV *Meteor* cruise M40/4 (1998). Multicorer station #82 from the Gulf of Lions (42°18.51′N, 3°46.4′E, 1078 m water depth, 0–1 cm) spans approximately the last 40 to 80 years, assuming approximate sedimentation rates of 0.1 to 0.15 cm yr⁻¹ (Miralles et al., 2005) and a bioturbation depth of 5–8 cm for the position. The gravity core station #87 taken near the Balearic Islands (38°59.28′N, 4°1.38′E, 1913 m water depth, 0–30 cm, sampled every cm) covers the time span of about 200 to 10 800 years BP (Emeis et al., 2003). Smear slides were prepared using standard protocols and coccolith measurements were carried out as described above.

Environmental data covering the time span of the analyzed trap samples were collected from the DYFAMED database (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/dyfBase/index.php), the Ocean Productivity website (http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/index.php) and the OceanColor website (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov). Detailed carbonate system parameters, temperature, salinity and nutrient measurements are only available for the DYFAMED site, which is located about 200 km east of the trap position (43°25′N, 7°52′E), i.e., upstream with respect to the general E–W circulation of the Northern Current along the slope (Fig. 1). It has been shown before that this data can be used for comparison with the sediment trap data (Heussner et al., 2006). Carbonate system measurements (i.e., alkalinity and DIC) are only available for the years 1998 to 2000 and 2003 to 2005. Data were obtained for the upper 200 m of the water column. Missing measurements were replaced with values obtained from linear regression of the measurements from above and below. [CO₂] and pH were calculated from the measured total alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon measurements with the CO₂SYS macro (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) using the CO₂ dissociation constants by Mehrbach et al. (1973), refit by Dickson and Millero (1987), KHSO₄ by Dickson (1990) and the seawater scale for pH. This data based on carbonate system measurements was then compared to theoretical carbonate system parameters calculated by the CO₂SYS macro as described above, by using atmospheric [CO₂] and alkalinity calculated from salinity (Schneider et al., 2007) for the entire time span.

Primary productivity (npp) (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997) and particulate inorganic carbon data (PIC) are derived from SeaWiFS satellite ocean color measurements taken as...
close as possible to the trap location (43° N, 5°10' E, 10’ resolution).

A singular spectrum analysis (SSA) was carried out on the coccolith weight and the environmental data sets using the software package R and following the method described in Golyandina and Korobeynikov (2012). For this, an evenly spaced time series without gaps (monthly values) was produced from the data. Monthly averages were calculated in those cases where traps were sampled twice in one month. Small gaps (less than 3 months) were filled by linear interpolation between the values before and after the gap. Longer gaps were filled using the monthly averages from all years, which were adjusted for the specific year. Gap filling methods are explained in detail in supplementary Fig. 1.

The SSA decomposed the data into trends, seasonal signals and residuals. For analysis of the seasonality, the trends and 12-month frequencies were added and run through a principal component analysis (PCA) in order to determine which variables explain most of the variance in the data. Cross-correlation was calculated on the time-series and on the seasonal signals extracted by the SSA to determine if a lagged response of E. huxleyi coccolith weight to the environmental parameters is likely.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 E. huxleyi coccolith weight and length

Seasonality and long-term trends are clearly shown in the weight and length of E. huxleyi coccoliths collected by the sediment trap investigated here (Fig. 2). The mean weight of E. huxleyi coccoliths shows maxima from February to May and minima from August to November during most parts of the record. The annual amplitude in average weight is about 1 pg. There are two periods in the record during which maxima occur twice a year. From 2001 to 2002 and from late 2004 to late 2005 maxima occur around January and June (Fig. 2a, b). Average coccolith length shows little seasonal variability with an amplitude of about 0.1 µm (Fig. 2c, d).

The inter-annual variability in weight and length of E. huxleyi is relatively small, but a long-term decrease can be observed from 1993 to 2005 (Fig. 2a, c), with a more pronounced decrease starting in 2001 and a further decrease only in weight from September 2004 onwards. Annual mean weight values decrease from about 5 pg in the first part of the record to less than 4 pg towards the end, equaling approximately a 20 % reduction in average weight (Fig. 2a, c). Annual mean length values show the same trend, but decrease only from 3.16 to 3.06 µm, which is a reduction of about 3 %. Theoretically, a reduction in length could be responsible for a decrease in weight without changing how well the coccolith is calcified. Coccolith weight has been shown to be approximately linearly correlated to the cube of coccolith length (Young and Ziveri, 2000). This means that a 3 % reduction in length (a reduction to 97 %) equals approximately a 9 % loss in weight (0.97^3 ≈ 0.91), which is considerably less than the observed weight decrease of about 20 %. Therefore, coccolith weight decreases more than can be expected based on the length reduction, indicating that coccoliths become less calcified.

There are four intervals in the 1993–2005 trap deployment during which exceptionally low weight and length values of about 2 to 3 pg and less than 3 µm have been observed (Fig. 2). These are November 1993 to April 1994, June to November 2000, May to August 2004 and September to December 2005. As these extremely low measurements are not randomly spread over the record but last over periods of several months, it is likely that they represent a mechanism that leads to decreased coccolith weight. Carbonate dissolution during or after sample preparation is unlikely to have occurred, as all samples have been treated in the same way, and previous studies did not show a decrease in the carbonate content during these periods (Heussner et al., 2006). In most of these samples very few coccoliths were detected (Fig. 2), which suggests that E. huxleyi production could have been lower. The years 2000, 2004 and 2005 were characterized by intense mixing events during late winter (Marty and Chiaverini, 2010). In late winter and early spring of these years E. huxleyi is still abundant, but in the following months numbers decrease to a minimum (Fig. 2). As diatoms have a tendency to be more abundant during years following mixing events (Marty and Chiaverini, 2010), the decrease in
Emiliania huxleyi production may be related to a different phytoplankton community structure. In addition, in the years 2000 and 2004 coccolith weight changes from a one maximum per year to a two maxima per year signal after these coccolith-poor periods (Fig. 2). This may indicate, that the normal seasonal development of the Emiliania huxleyi population was disturbed following intense winter mixing. The unusual seasonality can last for extended periods. After the change in late 2000 it took the system until 2003 before a normal seasonal signal established again in Emiliania huxleyi weight (Fig. 2).

The low weight period in winter 1993/1994 at the beginning of the trap record is different from the other events. Here, coccolith weight is already low during a moderate mixing event that is indicated by a sudden temperature drop measured at the trap (Heussner et al., 2006) and lasts exceptionally long. Coccolith numbers are exceptionally low only during part of this event (Fig. 2), indicating normal productivity. The exact mechanism leading to these periods with extremely low coccolith weight and length values remains unclear, but it seems very likely that they represent exceptional phases that are different from the normal seasonal signal in the record. Therefore, measurements from these periods have been excluded from all analyses.

4.2 Sediment transport

Seasonal changes in sediment resuspension plays an important role in particle transfer on the Gulf of Lions margin (Canals et al., 2006; Heussner et al., 2006). In a previous multi-sediment trap study, including the trap investigated here, a linear increase of the carbonate content in the sediment trap material with increasing mass flux was observed (Heussner et al., 2006). In the samples used here the influence of biological production on the sedimentation was shown to be highest compared to other settings in the Gulf of Lions (Heussner et al., 2006). This is likely because the trap was deployed in relatively shallow water (500 m water depth, mid-water column) and was located in the eastern part of the Gulf of Lions where the influence of resuspended material transport is smallest (Heussner et al., 2006). The lack of a linear relationship between total mass flux and the number of Emiliania huxleyi coccoliths per gram of particles in our trap is shown in Fig. 3. This indicates that the majority of Emiliania huxleyi coccoliths are likely to reflect the actual export production rather than resuspension, as has also been observed for silicoflagellates in the same trap (Rigual-Hernández et al., 2010).

4.3 Environmental controls

Emiliania huxleyi coccolith weight and length have been shown to vary with different environmental parameters. Possible causes for the observed seasonal and long-term variations in Emiliania huxleyi weight and length could be Emiliania huxleyi morphotype selectivity related to environmental factors, or a physiological response to the changing environment, e.g., changes in Emiliania huxleyi calcification due to natural environmental variability (seasonal) or global change (such as long-term ocean acidification, global warming).

4.3.1 Seasonal variation in temperature, nutrients, salinity and the carbonate system

The seasonal variability in temperature, salinity, nutrient concentration and the carbonate system parameters is clearly expressed in both the raw measurements and the SSA extraction of the seasonal signal (Figs. 4 and 5). The seasonal component of the signal is larger than the trend component in most of the parameters, except for coccolith weight, atmospheric CO₂ and pH (Fig. 5). This is the reason why atmospheric CO₂ and pH are the only parameters with a significant (but very low) correlation to coccolith weight (Table 1). However, when assuming a lagged response, significant correlations can also be found with temperature, nitrate, phosphate, alkalinity, [CO₂], [CO₃²⁻] and Ω_{ Calcite } but still low r values (Table 1). Regarding only the seasonal signals extracted with the SSA further improves the correlation (Table 2). The highest significant correlations were found with coccolith weight lagging two months behind temperature, Ω_{ Calcite }, [CO₃²⁻] (r values around −0.7), [CO₂] (r ~ 0.7), [PO₄³⁻] (r ~ −0.8), and (r ~ −0.7).
Table 1. Cross-correlation between *E. huxleyi* coccolith weight and time-series parameters, calculated for lags of 0 to 6 months. Significant correlations are set in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>E. huxleyi</em> coccolith weight</th>
<th>No lag</th>
<th>Lag 1 month</th>
<th>Lag 2 months</th>
<th>Lag 3 months</th>
<th>Lag 4 months</th>
<th>Lag 5 months</th>
<th>Lag 6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>-0.191</td>
<td>-0.227</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atm. CO$_2$</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
<td>-0.381</td>
<td>-0.443</td>
<td>-0.489</td>
<td>-0.532</td>
<td>-0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
<td>-0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CO$_2$]</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>-0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CO$_3^{2-}$]</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω$_{\text{Calcite}}$</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Cross-correlation between the seasonal signals extracted by an SSA analysis from *E. huxleyi* coccolith weight and time-series parameters, calculated for lags of 0 to 6 months. Maximum correlations are set in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>E. huxleyi</em> weight</th>
<th>No lag</th>
<th>Lag 1 month</th>
<th>Lag 2 months</th>
<th>Lag 3 months</th>
<th>Lag 4 months</th>
<th>Lag 5 months</th>
<th>Lag 6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>-0.384</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.707</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.308</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω$_{\text{Calcite}}$</td>
<td>-0.395</td>
<td>-0.636</td>
<td>-0.706</td>
<td>-0.583</td>
<td>-0.295</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CO$_2$]</td>
<td>-0.393</td>
<td>-0.635</td>
<td>-0.706</td>
<td>-0.584</td>
<td>-0.296</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CO$_3^{2-}$]</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
<td>-0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>-0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atm. CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>-0.376</td>
<td>-0.624</td>
<td>-0.717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-0.618</td>
<td>-0.398</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>-0.284</td>
<td>-0.391</td>
<td>-0.405</td>
<td>-0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>-0.277</td>
<td>-0.441</td>
<td>-0.498</td>
<td>-0.422</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrate and phosphate ($r \sim 0.5$). Atmospheric CO$_2$ and pH are best correlated to coccolith weight with no lag ($r \sim 0.7$ and $-0.6$), while salinity and alkalinity show best correlations with coccolith weight lagging 4–5 months ($r \sim 0.4$ and $-0.5$). The results from the PCA analysis on the combined trend and seasonal signals from the SSA analysis summarize these findings (Fig. 6). The first PCA axis explains 62% of the variance and represents temperature, Ω$_{\text{Calcite}}$, [CO$_3^{2-}$], [CO$_2$], nitrate and phosphate, i.e., those parameters in which the seasonal signal dominates and that show best correlations with coccolith weight assuming a lagged response of two months. The second PCA axis explains 31% of the variance and is controlled by the parameters with a strong trend component, i.e., atmospheric CO$_2$ and pH, but also picks up the seasonal signal in salinity and alkalinity, with the best correlation to coccolith weight at lag of 4–5 months.

From this analysis, the normal seasonal succession in environmental variables and coccolith weight can be identified. In February, at the beginning of the spring bloom in the Gulf of Lions area, temperature and thus also Ω$_{\text{Calcite}}$ and [CO$_3^{2-}$] are lowest, but nutrients (nitrate and phosphate) reach their maximum. The spring bloom starts with diatoms, followed by haptophytes (including coccolithophores), which reach their maximum between February and May (Marty et al., 2002). During the course of the bloom, nutrients decrease while temperature increases. Coccolith weight increases during the bloom, reaching maximum values about 2 months after the onset. The causal relationships between the different variables and coccolith weight are difficult to determine statistically, as there is considerable covariation between the parameters. Comparison with earlier studies may help to identify which of the correlations found in our data set are meaningful.

*E. huxleyi* morphotypes show a distinct temperature preference in the NW Pacific, with a morphotype below 4.1 µm length preferring warmer waters, and larger morphotypes characteristic of colder water masses (Hagino et al., 2005). In paleoceanographic studies, *E. huxleyi* coccoliths > 4 µm are frequently used as a cold-water indicator (Flores et al., 2010). In our record there is no detectable seasonal variability in *E. huxleyi* length and the vast majority of coccoliths measured here are below 4 µm, implying that the length variation observed in our data cannot result from *E. huxleyi* morphology temperature selectivity. In addition, a direct influence of
Salinity has been suggested to influence *Emiliania huxleyi* morphology, which has been consequently proposed as an indicator for past sea surface salinity (Bollmann et al., 2009; Fielding et al., 2009). However, a recent global survey has shown that the calcification state of *E. huxleyi* is not related to salinity (Beaufort et al., 2011), which is confirmed by our data. The salinity variability is too small (Figs. 4 and 5) to account for a substantial change in coccolith length (Bollmann et al., 2009) and from all variables tested, the statistical support for salinity (and alkalinity) controlling coccolith weight is lowest. Therefore salinity is not one of the main factors controlling weight and length of *E. huxleyi* here.

Nutrient availability influences calcification in *E. huxleyi* in two ways: increased coccolith weight has been observed in cells grown under higher nutrient conditions and produced during coccolithophore blooms (Beaufort et al., 2007), but also under phosphate limitation despite slower growth (Kayano and Shiraiwa, 2009; Müller et al., 2008; Satoh et al., 2009). At the trap site both effects may play a role. Satellite-derived primary production data shows a seasonal productivity cycle with maxima occurring in late winter/early spring, and phosphate limitation occurring in summer (Figs. 4 and 5). Absolute nutrient values are highest in winter (December to February) with about 6 µmol L⁻¹ nitrate and 0.4 µmol L⁻¹ phosphate, and then decrease to extremely low values in summer. The lower part of the photic zone also shows little sea-surface nitrate and phosphate, and then decrease to extremely low values in summer (Figs. 4 and 5).

Coccolith weight of *E. huxleyi* shows a seasonal cycle that...
lags 2 months behind the nutrient and productivity pattern (Figs. 4 and 5), whereas coccolith length shows no prominent seasonality. This lagged response may be due to the presence of diatoms at the beginning of the spring bloom. The general productivity pattern in the Gulf of Lions seems therefore to be responsible for an increase of *E. huxleyi* coccolith weight in February to May. If the P-limitation in summer has an effect, it is not strong enough to level out the seasonal signal in *E. huxleyi* weight, but may be one of the reasons for the reduced seasonal signal in *E. huxleyi* coccolith length. A less pronounced seasonality in nitrate and phosphate concentrations in 2001 and 2002 is paralleled by a reduced seasonal signal of *E. huxleyi* coccolith weight (Fig. 4), further indication of the importance of nutrient supply for *E. huxleyi* calcification. Interestingly, the PIC data does not follow the productivity and coccolith weight trends (Fig. 4). As recently shown (Daniels et al., 2012) this may be due to suspended particles that are common in coastal regions.

A recently published conceptual model on the effects of the carbonate chemistry on coccolithophore calcification describes calcification following an optimum curve response to gradients in pH and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) (Krug et al., 2011). Calcification will decrease outside the optimum conditions, with decreasing pH and increasing DIC in the case of OA. An experimental design to test the effect of individual parameters of the carbonate system has confirmed that pH, [HCO₃⁻] and [CO₃²⁻] are the main factors controlling coccolith morphology and weight (Bach et al., 2012). [CO₃²⁻] was also the most statistically significant factor controlling coccolith weight in a global set of sediment and water samples (Beaufort et al., 2011).

In the data presented here, the seasonal signal can be observed on the carbonate system (e.g., [CO₂], pH, [CO₃²⁻], Ω_{Calcite}) in the water column at the DYFAMED site (Figs. 4 and 5). The upper part (0–50 m depth) shows stronger seasonal variability with elevated [CO₂] and decreased [CO₃²⁻] in late winter and early spring. The lower part (70–200 m depth) shows the opposite and a weaker signal (Fig. 4). The upper and lower parts of the water column have similar [CO₂] and [CO₃²⁻] values during winter and early spring when the water column is well mixed (Fig. 4). The temperature increases only in the upper 50 m of the water column during the year (Fig. 4) indicating stratified conditions in summer and autumn. This leads to a decrease in [CO₂] and an increase in [CO₃²⁻] in the upper 50 m of the water column (Copin-Montégut and Bégoüic, 2002), whereas the lower part is relatively stable. Seasonal variations in carbonate chemistry such as Ω_{Calcite}, [CO₃²⁻] and [CO₂] therefore strongly covary with temperature. The largest average coccolith weight of *E. huxleyi* over the year is reached in February to May despite the elevated [CO₂] and low [CO₃²⁻] values. Therefore, the effect of the seasonal variation in the carbonate system parameters is smaller than the effect of the seasonal variation in the productivity pattern.
increase in coccolithophore production shown above (Figs. 4 and 5), in agreement with a recently published global survey (Beaufort et al., 2011).

In summary, temperature, nutrients and carbonate chemistry are statistically the most likely candidates for controlling the seasonal changes in coccolith weight. Due to the strong covariation between the parameters, it is difficult to identify the significance of single parameters. The combined effect of all parameters seems to control the phytoplankton succession during the spring bloom. *Emiliania huxleyi* weight is highest from February to May, which is during the maximum in production of haptophytes. This follows earlier observations, that optimum growth conditions favor calcification in coccolithophores.

### 4.3.2 Long-term trends

The Mediterranean Sea has undergone acidification since the onset of industrialization and the effect is largest in the NW Mediterranean Sea (up to 0.14 pH units, Touratier and Goyet, 2011; Touratier et al., 2012). Between the two time periods for which direct measurements of the carbonate system are available, \([\text{CO}_2]\) increases about 1 µmol kg\(^{-1}\), pH drops by about 0.02, \([\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) decreases by 7 µmol kg\(^{-1}\), and the calcite saturation decreases by 0.14 units when comparing the time periods from 1998 to 2000 and 2003 to 2005 (Fig. 7). The calculated carbonate system parameters for the entire record are in the same range and show the same seasonal amplitude as the direct measurements (Fig. 4). The SSA analysis conducted on this data, coccolith weight and other environmental data reveals significant trends only for coccolith weight and pH, whereas temperature, nutrients, salinity and other carbonate system parameters present limited variability (Fig. 8). Therefore, the most likely cause for the observed mass loss of *E. huxleyi* coccoliths is the observed change in surface water pH. However, also other parameters for which no data is available may have an additional influence, such as irradiance and turbidity (e.g., Charalampopoulou et al., 2011).

Further support for a reduction of coccolithophore calcification due to the influence of ocean acidification comes from the comparison of pre-industrial Holocene and industrial 20th century records of coccolith weight with the sediment trap data. From 1994 to 2000, the scale of the observed weight variability in *E. huxleyi* coccoliths from the sediment trap is within the range of that recorded in pre-industrial Holocene sediments (Fig. 9). From 2001, annual averages lie in the range of the 20th century surface sediments of the Gulf of Lions, that cover an approximate range of about 40–80 years before the sampling in 1998. From 2004 they fall below these 20th century average weight values (Fig. 9). Even if long-term sediment records that average over a considerable amount of time (40–80 years in the 20th century surface sediment, and a few hundred years in the Holocene record) may not be directly comparable to the interannual trend from the sediment trap, two conclusions can be drawn: (1) coccolith
weight is lower in sediments from the 20th century than in the pre-industrial Holocene and (2) during recent years the average weight of *E. huxleyi* coccoliths has sunk to values unprecedented in the last 10,000 years.

### 4.4 Physiological vs. morphotype selectivity

Recent evidence suggests resilience to lower pH environments for a genetically distinct type of the common species *E. huxleyi* (Beaufort et al., 2011; Read et al., 2013), indicating that the community response of coccolithophores to OA may be different from what can be observed in laboratory experiments on just one strain. Our study examines a natural assemblage and it is very likely that the coccoliths measured here represent more than just one genetic type within *E. huxleyi* (Read et al., 2013). This natural *E. huxleyi* assemblage shows a response to OA, which may be due to both physiological responses, e.g., a decrease in calcification, and morphotype selectivity within *E. huxleyi*. This gives two possible explanations for the observed discrepancy between field and laboratory studies: (1) strains tested in culture are unusual in their response to OA and only display small changes in calcification compared to the majority of types in natural populations. There are, however, different types that have been tested in culture and while the response is variable, it is still within a similar order of magnitude (Hoppe et al., 2011). (2) Therefore, the observed weight decrease in the natural assemblage is probably due to a change in relative abundance of types, with lightly calcifying types becoming more abundant over time. Likewise, the seasonal variation may be due to alternating populations over the year. This has been demonstrated in a different part of the Mediterranean Sea, where winter/early spring populations are dominated by a heavily calcifying *E. huxleyi* morphotype, while lighter-calcifying types are present during summer (Triantaphyllou et al., 2010). Our data also shows stronger calcified coccoliths during late winter and spring. This seems to be more likely due to a population change as the magnitude in weight change would be difficult to explain by physiological responses.

Alternating populations may also explain the periods of extremely low weights that occur over the record. These occur after strong mixing events, when the phytoplankton community is dominated by diatoms. Only few coccolithophores are found during these periods and they probably represent lightly calcifying types. As these mixing events become more frequent towards the end of the record, they may also be responsible in part for the coccolith weight decrease. The mechanism would then rather be a regime shift towards a diatom-dominated spring bloom that prevents the proliferation of heavily calcified *E. huxleyi* morphotypes.

### 5 Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that long-term and seasonal variability in the average weight of *E. huxleyi* coccoliths in the Gulf of Lions has different causes. In the long-term the seawater carbonate system displays the strongest change of all environmental parameters. Change in the surface water pH is the parameter most likely to have caused the decrease of *E. huxleyi* coccolith average weight below Holocene and 20th century values in the western Mediterranean Sea. Productivity has a large influence on *E. huxleyi* weight on the seasonal scale and future changes in the nutrient regime may have additional influence on the CaCO$_3$ quota in coccolithophores. Still, this study is only a first step in understanding what the effects of ocean acidification on coccolithophore calcification will be in the future. As the physiological response to the changing environment may be smaller than the ecological response, future work should try to disentangle these two processes of coccolithophore community calcification response to ocean acidification for reliable projections of the future global carbonate production.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-11-2857-2014-supplement.
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