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Wound healing is important for sharks from the earliest life stages, for example, as the ‘umbilical scar’ in viviparous species heals, and throughout adulthood, when sharks can incur a range of external injuries from natural and anthropogenic sources. Despite anecdotal accounts of rapid healing in elasmobranchs, data regarding recovery and survival of individuals from different wound or injury types has not been systematically collected. The present study documented: (i) ‘umbilical scar’ healing in wild-caught, neonatal blacktip reef sharks while being reared for 30 days in flow-through laboratory aquaria in French Polynesia; (ii) survival and recovery of free-swimming blacktip reef sharks in Australia and French Polynesia following a range of injuries; and (iii) long-term survival following suspected shark-finning activities. Laboratory monitoring, tag-recapture records, telemetry data and photo-identification records suggest that blacktip reef sharks have a high capacity to survive and recover from small or even large and severe wounds. Healing rates, recovery and survival are important factors to consider when assessing impacts of habitat degradation and fishing stress on shark populations. The present study suggests that individual survival may depend more on handling practices and physiological stress rather than the extent of physical injury. These observations also contribute to discussions regarding the ethics of tagging practices used in elasmobranch research and provide baseline healing rates that may increase the accuracy in estimating reproductive timing inferred from mating scars and birth dates for neonatal sharks based on umbilical scar healing status.
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Introduction

Sharks and rays incur injuries throughout all life stages that originate from many sources, including mating and courtship behaviours, parturition (for females and neonates), aggression, predation and human activities (Carrier et al., 1994; Towner et al., 2012; Hoyos-Padilla et al., 2013). However, elasmobranchs are reported to be naturally resilient to some types of injuries. For example, in some species the skin is up to twice as thick in females compared with males and is thought to protect the females during aggressive mating behaviours (Pratt, 1979; Kajiura et al., 2000). Large sharks have also exhibited recovery from penetrative harpoon wounds (Riley et al., 2009) and abrasion caused by marine debris (Wegner...
and Cartamil, 2012). For these reasons and based on several studies, it is suggested that tagging with external fin tags does not endanger sharks and rays (Heupel et al., 1998). Nevertheless, with the exception of anecdotal evidence, there are relatively few records that document healing and recovery from the range of wounds experienced by sharks. Furthermore, such information is not only important to the welfare of individuals and sustainability of populations, but mating and umbilical scars, for example, can also be used to indicate timing of reproduction in elasmobranchs (Walker, 2005). However, healing rates are neither well documented nor understood, and therefore there is uncertainty in the use of mating or umbilical scars to predict the timing of mating or birthing events. Concerns have also been raised about the health and well-being of sharks after tagging, and there is an ongoing debate about the ethics of tagging procedures used in acoustic monitoring, a rapidly growing research area (Heupel and Webber, 2012). However, there are few explicit accounts of healing rates and survival after internal insertion of acoustic tags to inform this discussion.

Although it has been reported that sharks have high capacity to heal and recover from wounds, there are few published data on healing rates and recovery, and very few data on healing from a range of injury types in the same species throughout early life stages into adulthood. The blacktip reef shark (Carcharhinus melanopterus) is a widespread and abundant predator across the Indo-Pacific (Vignaud et al., 2014) that is adapted to a diverse range of conditions from shallow-water lagoons (Papastamatiou et al., 2009) to outer reefs (Mourier et al., 2012) and turbid, hypoxic waters of inshore bays (Chin et al., 2013c). This medium-sized reef shark is a good candidate to address questions about healing and recovery, not only because of their distribution, but also because they show evidence of aggressive mating activity (McCauley et al., 2010), and mature females can be observed with obvious mating scars (Porcher, 2005; Chin et al., 2013b). Blacktip reef sharks may also incur injuries from aggressive behaviour and predation by larger reef sharks, such as the grey reef shark (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) and sicklefin lemon shark (Negaprion acutidens), and potentially, by very large sharks, such as the great hammerhead shark (Sphyra mokarran), which has been observed to prey upon reef sharks (Mourier et al., 2013b). Here, we provide photographic records and quantitative data documenting the recovery of blacktip reef sharks from natal umbilical scars and from injuries observed in free-swimming animals and from acoustic tagging data. These data will help to provide a greater understanding of the potential for recovery and survival from natural and anthropogenic injuries in this species and for elasmobranchs in general.

Methods and materials

Umbilical scars of neonatal sharks in Moorea

We collected eight neonate blacktip reef sharks (C. melanopterus) from shallow lagoons around the north coast of Moorea, French Polynesia using gill nets (50 m long, 1.5 m high, 6 cm mesh) set with fresh bait in 2014 during known pupping months (October–November; Mourier et al., 2013a; Mourier and Planes, 2013). Upon collection, all sharks were measured (total length, fork length and body mass), sexed, and tagged in their dorsal fin, using T-bar coloured anchor tags (Hall-print, Hindmarsh Valley, SA, Australia) to allow sharks to be identified visually in their holding tanks. Sharks were then transported (~20 min by vehicle) in insulated coolers filled with continuously aerated seawater back to the Centre de Recherche Insulaire et Observatoire de l’Environnement (CRIOBE) research station. At CRIOBE, sharks were maintained in flow-through aquaria (four sharks per 1000 l circular polyethylene tank, 168 cm diameter, 45 cm deep) so that ‘umbilical scar’ healing could be monitored in a controlled environment. After sharks had habituated to laboratory conditions and were eating regularly (5% of their body mass every other day, fresh black tuna), individuals were periodically retrieved from holding tanks using two mesh hand nets so that they could be re-measured and/or photographed to assess the progress of ‘umbilical scar’ healing. This sampling and measurement process took, on average, 4 min, after which time individuals were returned to their original holding tanks. Photographs of umbilical scars were taken at the beginning of the project, which commenced no sooner than 1 week after sharks had been captured and were feeding regularly in the laboratory setting (referred to as day 0) and then again periodically to monitor healing rates (days 6, 12 and 18). Photographs were imported into the open-source image processing software FIJI by ImageJ (version 2.0.0-rc-23/1.49m; Schindelin et al., 2012), scales were set to 1 cm using the ruler that was photographed with each shark, and then scars were traced using the freehand selection tool. Area (in square centimetres), perimeter (in centimetres) and shape descriptor measurement tools, including circularity [4π(area/ (perimeter)^2)−1], aspect ratio (majoraxis/ minoraxis−1) and roundness [4π(area/ (n*majoraxis))^2], were used for each traced ‘umbilical scar’. Data were then compiled and compared over time using Student’s paired t-tests for body measurements (comparing day 0 and day 24 only) and repeated-measures ANOVAs for scar dimensions (Systat Software, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All sharks were released back into their natal lagoons after 30 days in captivity.

Monitoring injuries in adult sharks in Moorea

Also in Moorea, 241 free-swimming juvenile and adult blacktip reef sharks were photographed during 190 underwater surveys conducted between 2008 and 2010 by the CRIOBE laboratory in Moorea (Mourier et al., 2012). Some of these animals were also observed when captured for genetic samples (Mourier and Planes, 2013). We used specific dorsal fin coloration patterns for photo-identification during underwater surveys (Mourier et al., 2012). On occasion, individuals were observed with wounds or injuries due to mating, aggression or, potentially, stemming from anthropogenic causes.
Injuries were photographed, and when possible, wound healing was monitored over time using subsequent photographs.

**Healing and survival of tagged sharks in Australia**

In Australia, we tagged 120 blacktip reef sharks in their first dorsal fins with Superflexitags (Dalton ID Systems, Henley-Thames, UK) as part of a long-term tag-recapture study (Chin et al., 2013c). Of these animals, 27 sharks (ranging from 65 to 155 cm stretched total length) were also tagged with Vemco V16 acoustic tags (Vemco Ltd, AMIRIX Systems Inc., Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada). Sharks were carefully restrained in foam cradles and inverted to induce tonic immobility. Acoustic tags were implanted into the abdominal cavity through a 3–4 cm incision made on the ventral side along the body midline. The incision was then closed with veterinary sutures (Model CT1; Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA) using four cruciate-pattern sutures with two stitches each in the muscle and dermis layers (Fig. 1). All tagging procedures were carried out by trained personnel following strict protocols developed by veterinarians and approved by animal research ethics committees. The entire procedure for each shark was typically completed in <10 min. Sharks were then returned to their normal swimming position, closely monitored to ensure recovery, and then released. Long-term recovery and survival of these animals was directly recorded from field notes and photographs of individuals recaptured during subsequent capture-mark-recapture sampling, and inferred from long-term telemetry data (Chin et al., 2013c).

**Results**

**Umbilical scars of neonatal sharks in Moorea**

Over the 24 day observation period, neonatal sharks significantly increased fork length by an average of 1.6% \( r(11) = -2.83, P = 0.043 \) (Table 1). No significant differences were detected between 0 and 24 days for total length, body mass or body condition, even though there was a trend toward an increase in mass (Table 1). Scar dimensions changed over time. Scar area and scar perimeter were both significantly correlated with time, decreasing by 94 and 89%, respectively, over the 24 day period \( r = -0.5080, P = 0.0113 \) and \( r = -0.6430, P = 0.0007 \), respectively; Table 1 and Fig. 2). Scar area decreased significantly within the first 6 days that sharks were being observed, after which there were no significant changes for the rest of the observation period (Fig. 2). Scar perimeter did not decrease significantly until toward the end of the observation period (Fig. 2). Interestingly, SEM also decreased over time, especially for scar area (Table 1 and Fig. 2). No significant correlations with time were determined for scar circularity, aspect ratio or roundness (Table 1). Representative photographs show that scars were initially open and round (day 0), then started to close and appeared more slit-like and, ultimately, toward the end of the observation period, scars appeared to be small pinholes in the skin (Fig. 3).

**Monitoring injuries in adult sharks in Moorea**

In Moorea, 241 individual sharks were identified using photo-identification, and 193 were resighted (Mourier et al., 2012). Sharks exhibited a range of injuries. A mature male was observed with a large, deep bite wound on the top of the head that was estimated to be 20 cm wide (Fig. 4). Owing to the large size of the wound, the injury was attributed to interspecific aggression or attempted predation, possibly by a grey reef or sicklefin lemon shark, both of which are common in the area. The wound was almost closed within 3 days and completely recovered within 40 days (Fig. 4). Mating scars on females also healed within a month (online supplementary material Fig. S1). A second male was observed with a large, bleeding, open wound on its right side that reached up to 25 cm in diameter and 3–5 cm in depth (Fig. 5). Given the high boat traffic in this section of the lagoon and that shark provisioning occurs at this site, the wound may have resulted from a boat strike. The shark was observed 3 days later, and the wound was still open but no longer bleeding. The shark was resighted 27 days later, showing complete closure of the wound (Fig. 5). One year later, the shark was observed, and the scar was barely visible (Fig. 5). This shark has recently (July 2015) been observed in the same area with no sign of previous injury. In other cases, sharks showed recovery from a fin injury (i.e. fin was split into two parts), and others demonstrated long-term survival after complete removal of the dorsal fin (online supplementary material Figs S2 and S3).
In Australia, blacktip reef sharks appeared to recover rapidly from the acoustic tagging procedure, and all 27 animals were recorded as 'actively swimming' after release. In the days immediately after the procedure, all animals were detected on multiple receivers over a period of several days, suggesting that they were continuing to swim actively around the study site. The majority (n = 22) of tagged sharks were subsequently detected within the study area for periods of months to years (Fig. 6), suggesting long-term survival and residency. Four acoustically tagged sharks were recaptured and physically inspected 29, 46, 69 and 179 days after tagging. Photographs revealed that the incision was completely closed and the skin almost completely healed within 29 days of the procedure. By 129 days, there was no mark or scar visible (Fig. 1).

Table 1: Measurements of umbilical scars and body size of neonatal blacktip reef sharks to track healing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time elapsed (days)</th>
<th>Shark body measurements and calculations</th>
<th>Scar measurements and calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FL (cm)</td>
<td>TL (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>47.00 ± 1.05</td>
<td>59.50 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>47.00 ± 1.05</td>
<td>59.50 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>47.00 ± 1.05</td>
<td>59.50 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>47.00 ± 1.05</td>
<td>59.50 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>47.00 ± 1.05</td>
<td>59.50 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation: 
-0.5080
-0.6430
-0.3500
-0.1530
-0.2480

P-value: 
0.0113
0.0007
0.0939
0.4740
0.2420

Statistical significance is indicated by asterisks (* for P < 0.05, ** for P < 0.01, and *** for P < 0.001). Abbreviation: NS, no significance.

Figure 2: Temporal evolution of umbilical scar healing rate for both significant variables, scar area (A) and scar perimeter (B). See Table 1 for further details. Different letters demarcate statistically significant differences, and P-values are indicated in the top corner of each panel.
A range of external wounds across life-history stages in blacktip reef sharks were recorded from observations in French Polynesia and Australia, and data suggest that this species has a high capacity to recover from wounds and survive injuries. Small umbilical wounds in neonates decreased in surface area by 71% in less than a week and were barely detectable after 24 days. Wounds up to several centimetres long in juveniles...
and adults started to close within days and were undetectable within weeks to months, similar to healing rates observed in captive sharks (Reif, 1978). Fresh bite wounds in blacktip reef sharks in Moorea had completely healed within 3–5 weeks. This information can be important to infer date of birth for neonatal sharks, based on the healing status of their umbilical scar (Aubrey and Snelson, 2007), which can also be helpful in neonatal sharks based on the healing status of their umbilical scar (Aubrey and Snelson, 2007), which can also be helpful in other areas of research, such as determining key nursery areas (McCallister et al., 2013), the timing of first dispersal (Chin et al. 2013a) or thresholds for trophic shifts from energy allocation from the mother to feeding autonomy (Matich et al. 2015). Healing rates in adults can provide a baseline that can be used to validate estimates of the timing of mating, because some studies use mating scars to indicate mating activity (Porcher 2005; Chin 2013b; Mourier and Planes 2013). Information about healing rates could also provide a coarse estimate of the timing of an initial injury, which could potentially assist fisheries and marine park compliance and management activities.

Healing may be especially important during early life stages. An open umbilical scar is a potential source of infection, which may be especially problematic in sensitive young sharks with newly developing immune systems. Once abandoned by their mothers, neonatal sharks have to be autonomous and manage energy allocation to different tasks, such as foraging (Matich et al. 2013), avoidance of predation, and exploration of diverse habitats with multiple environmental conditions (Chin et al. 2012, 2013a), which may also increase their exposure to pathogens. Indeed, nursery habitats are known for certain characteristics, including shallow, warm, hypoxic, but highly productive waters, characteristics that may heighten the risk of infection. Neonates already have to manage changes in their local environment and allocate resources and energy effectively in order to optimize growth and maximize survival; therefore, a decreased risk of infection owing to rapid healing of umbilical wounds would presumably be advantageous. This is an area requiring more research.

Sharks also recovered rapidly from internal acoustic tagging procedures and showed long-term (months to years) survival after release. Rapid healing of these minor injuries is, perhaps, not surprising given that the present study showed that blacktip reef sharks recovered completely from much larger wounds, such as deep, bleeding lacerations, and exhibited long-term survival after removal of the entire dorsal fin. The observed recovery and survival after injuries that include major trauma suggest that the chondrichthyan immune system must be effective at preventing infection (for review, see Luer et al., 2004). These observations also provide some indication that, in some cases, individuals may be able to survive the loss of an entire fin. Nevertheless, the longer-term effects of this type of injury on shark health and fitness remain unknown.

A shark's resilience to physical injury suggests that post-release fishing mortality may depend more on the physiological stress response and handling practices (Skomal and Bernal, 2010; Eddy et al. 2016) than the physical injuries incurred during capture and release. However, it is important to note that, although sharks may be resilient to external injuries, such as superficial hooking, ingestion of hooks into the oesophagus or gastrointestinal tract can cause extensive internal damage, infection and/or inflammation that may significantly reduce individual fitness and post-release survival (Borucinska et al., 2002). Consequently, care must be taken to minimize the likelihood of hook ingestion and stress in shark research and, as such, circle hooks are recommended over other types (Cooke et al., 2012; Danylchuk et al., 2014). Blacktip reef sharks appear to be robust to capture and handling as shown by low or absent mortality after tagging experiments (Fig. 6) and multiple recaptures in long-term monitoring studies (Chin et al. 2013c; Mourier et al., 2013a). However, future work should investigate the level of stress following capture in blacktip reef sharks to determine directly the contribution of fishing practices on blacktip reef shark stress, health and mortality. This could be investigated through standardized capture-and-release experiments and measurements of blood chemistry, such as glucose and lactate concentrations (Danylchuk et al., 2014; Gallagher et al., 2014). Preliminary work in Moorea has attempted to measure plasma cortisol as an indicator of stress using a cortisol enzyme immunoassay kit, but validation for C. melanopterus was unsuccessful owing to low concentration detectability (Mills et al., 2010). Ongoing studies are attempting to address this knowledge gap in blacktip reef sharks.

The present study also suggests that trained personnel following appropriate procedures can implant acoustic tags internally without compromising the long-term health and
survival of the tagged animal. The physiological and immunological effects of conventional external tags on sharks have been examined directly in a few species, and studies suggest that tagging is unlikely to reduce fitness or increase mortality (Heupel and Bennett, 1997; Heupel et al., 1998). However, there are few data available on survival and recovery after the surgical procedures used to implant internal telemetry tags. This is relevant, given the increase in use of telemetry tags in elasmobranch research (Heupel and Webber, 2012) and debates regarding the health and welfare of sharks during research activities. Indeed, this is a topical issue, with authors presenting varying views regarding research impacts and conservation benefits (Heupel and Simpfendorfer, 2010; Hammerschlag and Sulikowski, 2011). Concerns about potential impacts of internal tagging procedures have resulted in some studies using externally mounted tags fixed to the dorsal fin, even when this can compromise the amount of data available to inform conservation efforts of critically endangered species (C. Simpfendorfer, personal communication). External tags are often shed (for review, see Kohler and Turner, 2001) and may also become fouled by marine organisms, thus reducing data quality and, potentially, causing public concern when tagged animals are sighted. Given the observed rapid wound recovery and high survival from a range of injuries, including internal tagging procedures, we suggest that the benefits of internal tags are likely to outweigh the risks associated with the procedure.

Although we have documented rapid wound healing in blacktip reef sharks, recovery rates may differ between species, locations or injury types. The process that occurs during umbilical wound healing in neonates may be functionally and physiologically different from the healing process that occurs after physical injury. Furthermore, much longer injury healing times than we have observed have been recorded in other species. Bansemer and Bennett (2010) observed hook injuries in grey nurse sharks (*Carcharias taurus*) and, although sharks appeared to survive these injuries, the resulting tissue necrosis took >6 months to heal. Differences in healing rates may also be due to environmental factors. Wound healing could be reduced in cooler waters owing to reduced metabolic rates, as evident in grey nurse sharks (Bansemer and Bennett, 2010), and may also explain the case in white sharks (*Carcharodon carcharias*) at Guadalupe Island (18–20°C), where ‘minor lacerations and abrasions’ were visible for several months (Domeier and Nasby-Lucas, 2007). Meanwhile, sicklefin lemon sharks in tropical waters exhibit similar healing rates to blacktip reef sharks (Buray et al., 2009), providing further circumstantial evidence of faster healing rates in warmer waters. While interspecific variation, individual immunology or environmental factors may affect wound recovery, the relative importance of these variables for wound healing in sharks is yet to be explored.

Another unresolved factor is the range and extent of sublethal effects that could arise from injuries. Although our study provides information on individual long-term survival, the health and fitness of recaptured animals was not quantified, nor were any potential changes in ecology or behaviour. Presumably, additional energy would be allocated toward healing processes, and this energy would normally be allocated toward growth, feeding, swimming and other aspects of the animals’ aerobic metabolic scope. Researchers are encouraged to document injuries and record healing rates on captive sharks in the laboratory and in field studies where possible so that we can begin to address some of these knowledge gaps.

In summary, this study suggests that elasmobranchs may be resilient to injuries, showing rapid healing from minor wounds and long-term survival from even major mechanical injuries. These are positive findings for elasmobranch conservation, especially considering that up to a quarter of all shark and ray species worldwide are threatened with extinction (Dulvy et al., 2014). Such findings also provide opportunities for management agencies and fisheries to increase post-release survival in elasmobranchs. For example, education programmes for recreational anglers could focus on encouraging minimal handling time and stress when releasing sharks, which could include cutting a line near the hook instead of repeatedly attempting to remove the hook. Anglers should also be made aware that sharks can recover from mechanical injury; therefore, sharks should be released even if the animal sustains injuries during the capture process. In commercial fisheries, shark bycatch is a prime issue. Management policies should acknowledge the resilience of elasmobranchs to mechanical injury and include this information in handling guides to justify early release of bycatch shark species. Instead of trying to retrieve the animal to remove a hook physically, fisheries managers could recommend that wire leaders are replaced with monofilament leaders so that handling and capture stress can be minimized. Circle hooks, especially those that will corrode, should also be used for these reasons. Managing agencies and research ethic committees should also consider the resilience of these animals to injuries when balancing the risks of proposed research against potential conservation outcomes. Although more data are needed regarding the various factors that influence healing rates and the long-term effects of injuries on individual health and fitness, all researchers and fishers involved in elasmobranch tagging programmes should be encouraged to document injuries and post-release survival rates whenever possible. This increased understanding about how sharks tolerate wounds and injuries and the effects on long-term survival will be invaluable to refining bycatch mitigation and handling practices in the future.
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Supplementary material is available at *Conservation Physiology* online.
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